

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 BOBBY JOE FLOYD,

No. 2:03-cv-00015-MCE-KJM P

12 Petitioner,

13 vs.

ORDER

14 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,

15 Respondents.
16 _____/

17 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this application for a writ
18 of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States
19 Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262.

20 On January 26, 2009, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations
21 herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any
22 objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. Petitioner
23 has filed objections to the findings and recommendations.

24 ///

25 ///


26 ///

1 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 72-
2 304, this court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire
3 file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by
4 proper analysis.

5 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 6 1. The findings and recommendations filed January 26, 2009, are adopted in full;
7 and
8 2. Petitioner's application for a writ of habeas corpus is denied.

9 Dated: March 27, 2009

10 
11 MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR.
12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26